UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:18-cv-00641-FDW

| ADRIAN D. MURRAY, |) | |
|--|---|-------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| VS. |) | ORDER |
| |) | ORDER |
| WARDEN, Lanesboro Correctional, et al. |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

THIS MATTER is before the Court on its own motion.

On August 1, 2019, the NCDPS filed a document under seal indicating it was unable to procure a waiver of service for Defendant FNU McCoy because Defendant McCoy is no longer employed by the NCDPS. [Doc. 26]. The sealed document provides the last known address for Defendant McCoy. [Id.]. The sealed document also indicates that Defendant FNU McCoy is actually Monica Shareece McCoy. [Id.].

Generally, a plaintiff is responsible for effectuating service on each named Defendant within the time frame set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), and failure to do so renders the action subject to dismissal. However, if an incarcerated plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis provides the Marshals Service sufficient information to identify the defendant, the Marshals Service's failure to complete service will constitute good cause under Rule 4(m) if the defendant could have been located with reasonable effort. See Graham v. Satkoski, 51 F.3d 710, 713 (7th Cir. 1995); Greene v. Holloway, No. 99-7380, 2000 WL 296314, at *1 (4th Cir. Mar. 22, 2000) (where the district court dismissed a defendant in a Section 1983 action based on the prisoner's failure to provide an address for service on a defendant who no longer worked at the sheriff's office,

remanding so the district court could "evaluate whether the marshals could have served

[Defendant] with reasonable effort").

Here, despite that a request for waiver of service was submitted to the NCDPS, no waiver

from Defendant McCoy was obtained. As such, it does not appear that this Defendant actually

ever received service of process. With the additional information supplied for service on

Defendant McCoy, the U.S. Marshal is hereby ordered to use reasonable efforts to locate and

obtain service on this Defendant in accordance with Rule 4.

To that end, the Court will direct the Clerk of Court to provide a copy of Docket No. 26 to

the U.S. Marshal for its eyes only for the sole purpose of serving Defendant McCoy.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

(1) The Clerk of Court will send a copy of this Order and Docket No. 26 to the U.S.

Marshals Service.

(2) The U.S. Marshal shall use reasonable efforts to locate and obtain service on Defendant

McCoy in accordance with Rule 4.

(3) The Clerk is also respectfully instructed to change the docket in this matter to reflect

the true name of Defendant FNU McCoy to Monica Shareece McCoy.

Signed: August 20, 2019

Frank D. Whitney

Chief United States District Judge

2